



08/133392

1

## TELECOMMUNICATIONS CABLE

This invention relates to telecommunications cable.

In the telecommunications cable industry, specific designs of cable have conventionally been used for inside buildings. A conventional cable design, which has been employed for voice frequency ranges and low speed data, e.g., up to about 4 or 4.5 megabytes, is one in which the conductors of each conductor pair are twisted together with a twist length, referred to as a "twist lay", of between 3.7 and 5.7 inches. While the above design of cable operates satisfactorily within the voice frequency range, it has been found to be unsatisfactory for various reasons above this range and has limitations for use with digital systems and local area networks using much higher frequencies. In particular, attenuation of signals at around 16 megabytes is undesirably high as is the amount of crosstalk experienced. For high frequency data transmission, e.g. up to about 100 megabytes, a conventional cable design for all voice frequency range use is entirely unsatisfactory.

In U.S. Patent 5,010,210 to S. Sidi, et al and entitled "Telecommunications Cable", an unshielded telecommunications cable is described of special design in which it is recognized that the use of small twist lays for twisted conductor pairs in a particular cable provides the surprising result that electromagnetic interference is reduced to commercially acceptable levels even through the cable is unshielded, to provide minimization in crosstalk.

30 Capacitance in a conductor is dependent, in part,  
upon the length of the conductor. On the other hand,  
inductance is proportional to the ~~"loop surface area"~~ <sup>AS</sup> in a  
twisted pair, the ~~"loop surface area"~~ considered to be the  
area generated by a line extending in a normal direction  
35 ~~between the conductors of the pair and projected along the~~  
~~lengths of the conductors.~~ The nominal characteristic  
impedance of a twisted pair in telecommunications cable is:

commercially acceptable if it has a value of 100 ohms  $\pm$  15 ohms. With a cable designed for voice frequency range use, the twist lays in adjacent pairs are designed to be different from one another for the purpose of minimizing crosstalk. Although for a unitary length of cable, these twist lays differ, the nominal characteristic impedance for any one pair is easily controlled within the required limits, because any change in the normal large twist lays, e.g. between 3.70 and 6.00 inches, results in similar changes in the conductor length and in the ~~loop surface~~ *physical spacing area* ~~area~~, whereby changes in inductance and capacitance are substantially proportionate and the nominal characteristic impedance thus remains substantially unchanged. However, when a cable is provided with small twist lays to its twisted pairs and these twist lays differ from pair to pair so as to minimize crosstalk, the changes in twist lay from pair to pair are accompanied by large variations in ~~loop surface~~ *the physical spacing* area which provide large variations in inductance, but the helical lengths of the conductors from pair to pair vary more widely whereby change in capacitance is significantly larger than change in inductance. As a result, the nominal characteristic impedance is uncontrollable from pair to pair and it is found that in any cable there is a tendency for the nominal characteristic impedance of at least some pairs to be at or beyond the acceptable nominal characteristic impedance value in the telecommunications industry. Certainly for a cable having twenty-five pairs of twisted conductors, conventional cable design cannot provide an acceptable nominal characteristic impedance for each pair where all pairs have small, but different twist lays.

The present invention seeks to provide a telecommunications cable which minimizes or avoids the above problems.

Accordingly, the present invention provides a telecommunications cable comprising a core having a plurality of pairs of twisted together individually

insulated conductors, the maximum twist lay length being 2.00 inches, the twist lay length of at least some conductor pairs being different from that of others, and the insulation thickness of the conductors of at least some pairs being different from that of other pairs with the conductors of each pair having a thickness of insulation which provides the pair with a characteristic impedance which is within desirable limits and an acceptable signal attenuation.

10 With the above structure of the invention the insulation thicknesses of the conductors are matched to the twist lay lengths so as to provide in each case a nominal characteristic impedance for each twisted pair which lies within the normal acceptable commercial range. In  
 15 practice, and within the scope of the invention, the pairs of conductors with the smallest twist lays necessarily have thicker insulations on the conductors than the pairs of conductors with the largest twist lays. The increase in thickness of the insulation provides for a larger ~~loop~~ <sup>physical</sup> ~~surface~~ <sup>spring</sup> area increase than could be obtained using thinner insulation so as to be comparable more closely to the necessary increase in the lengths of the conductors to provide the small twist lays. Accordingly, changes in inductance and capacitance from one twisted pair to another  
 25 are more closely aligned whereby the impedance can be more closely controlled within acceptable limits.

In principle, the present invention includes a structure of cable in which the twist lay length of each pair is different from that of each other pair and also in  
 30 which the conductor insulation thicknesses are different from one pair to another. In a practical sense having completely different thicknesses of insulation from pair to pair may be highly inconvenient, impractical and may be expensive. Accordingly, in a preferred cable structure,  
 35 less thicknesses of insulation may be used than the number of twist lays in the twisted pairs. Conveniently, the same thickness of insulation may be used over a range of twist.

4

lay lengths while still providing a nominal characteristic impedance which is within the desirable limits, and another thickness of insulation may be used for a different range of twist lays to provide similar results. In particular, therefore, it is preferable for a first plurality of conductor pairs having twist lays within a first range and have the same conductor insulation thickness which is consistent with providing a nominal characteristic impedance for each conductor pair of the first plurality within desirable limits and an acceptable signal attenuation, and at least a second plurality of conductor pairs is provided and which have twist lays within a second range. The conductors of the second plurality of conductor pairs each <sup>now</sup> have substantially the same conductor thickness which is different from that of the first plurality of pairs to provide a nominal characteristic impedance for each conductor pair of the second plurality which is within the desirable limits and also an acceptable signal attenuation..

The inventive concept may be used in telecommunication cables with different numbers of conductor pairs and in a particular case using twenty-five conductor pairs, a plurality of thicknesses of insulation may be employed to extend over different limits of twist lay lengths to provide the desired results. In a practical arrangement having twenty-five conductor pairs, it has been found that two insulation thicknesses may be provided, each insulation thickness applicable to an individual plurality of pairs of conductors lying within a certain range of twist lays while providing the acceptable nominal characteristic impedance and attenuation values.

The invention is applicable both to cables with and without a shield surrounding the core.

One embodiment of the invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:-

Figure 1 is an isometric diagrammatic view of part of a ~~twisted~~ <sup>conventional</sup> pair of individually insulated conductors;

Figure 2 is a cross-sectional view through a telecommunications cable for high frequency use and according to the embodiment; and

Figure 3 is a cross-sectional view through part of a core of the cable of Figure 2 and to a much larger scale.

In telecommunication cable constructions, as shown by Figure 1, pairs 10 of conductors are normally used, the pairs 10 comprising two individually insulated conductors 12 each having a layer of insulation 14 (chain-dotted) which maintains the conductors apart. Each pair of conductors is twisted to have a particular twist lay, that is the twist length produced between them, and the distance between the conductors is controlled by the thickness of the layers of insulation 14. The signal attenuation in the conductors is partly dependent upon the length of the conductors and also upon the distance between them. As a result, if over a unitary length of cable the twist lay is ~~less with certain pairs of conductors~~ <sup>than for other pairs</sup>, then each conductor length is longer than in other pairs which would tend to ~~lower~~ <sup>increase</sup> the attenuation of the ~~low~~ <sup>short</sup> twist lay pairs. In addition, the pairs with ~~low~~ <sup>short</sup> twist lay tend to be crushed closer together than other pairs with the result that the insulation is more compressed thereby bringing the conductors slightly closer together. This is also a factor in increasing the attenuation.

The attenuation is ~~inversely~~ <sup>as</sup> proportional to the nominal characteristic ~~impedance~~ <sup>these</sup> of each pair. The impedance is proportional to the square root of the inductance and inversely proportional to the square root of the capacitance. For normal considerations in telecommunications cable design, for normal voice frequency cables with a long twist lay, e.g. between 3.7 and 6 inches, the ~~inductance and capacitance~~ <sup>square roots of the</sup> change substantially proportionately from twisted pair to twisted pair having different twist lays. As a result of this, for normal

voice frequency use cable designs the nominal characteristic impedance changes only slightly from pair to pair and is easily contained within the acceptable design limits of 100 ohms  $\pm$  15 ohms. However, when a

- 5 telecommunications cable is designed to operate at high frequencies, perhaps up to 100 megabytes, it is desirable for this purpose to have each twisted pair with a relatively low twist lay, i.e. below 2 inches in length. Problems arise in this case with maintaining the nominal
- 10 characteristic impedance within the desirable limits. One

of the parameters for impedance, i.e. inductance, is proportional to the ~~loop surface area~~ <sup>physical spacing area</sup> between the conductors of each pair. ~~As shown by Figure 1, the loop~~ <sup>on the sample</sup>

- ~~surface area is considered to be that area which is~~ <sup>physical</sup>  
 15 generated by a ~~line~~ <sup>spatial distance</sup> extending the shortest distance between the conductors 12, ~~that is normal to their~~  
~~direction at any point, the line being moved from end to~~ <sup>spatial distance</sup>  
 end of the pair of conductors. Thus, the loop surface area

- ~~is as represented by the shaded area extending between the~~  
 20 ~~twisted pair of conductors in Figure 1. The loop surface~~ <sup>physical spacing</sup>  
 area increases from pair to pair as the twist lay decreases while the length of each conductor also increases as the twist lay ~~increases~~ <sup>decreases</sup>. However, the capacitance depends primarily upon the helical length of each conductor. For

- 25 high twist lay lengths of cable for voice frequencies, the change in the ~~loop surface area~~ <sup>physical spacing</sup> is substantially proportional to the change of helical length of the conductors for variation in twist lay and results in the substantially proportional change in inductance and

- 30 capacitance discussed above which results in little or no change to impedance. However, when small twist lays are being considered, e.g. below 2 inches, ~~then the length of~~  
~~conductor from twisted pair to twisted pair increases~~

- ~~faster than the loop surface area for a decrease in twist~~  
 35 ~~lay. This effects substantially increases in capacitance,~~  
 compared to increases in inductance. As a result of this, the impedance is known to decrease rapidly from twisted

pair to twisted pair as twist lay reduces. If nominal characteristic impedance values are to be maintained within acceptable limits, this places a limit on the number of twisted pairs which may be placed in the core of a high frequency telecommunications cable having a large range of twist lays which are all below 2 inches. In addition, the change in nominal characteristic impedance has drastic effects upon the attenuation which reaches <sup>high</sup> attenuation levels which are also completely unacceptable.

The present invention provides a telecommunications cable structure which, as described in the following embodiment, enables the nominal characteristic impedance to be more closely controlled between its acceptable limits while also placing a control upon signal attenuation. It also enables telecommunications cable employing large numbers of pairs, i.e. twenty-five pairs or above, to be employed with a range of low twist lays while also avoiding the above-discussed problems.

As shown by the embodiment (Figures 2 and 3), a shieldless telecommunications cable 20 comprises a jacket 22 of any suitable material for inside building use, the jacket surrounding a cable core 24.

The cable core 24 comprises <sup>all of 24 AWG</sup> twenty-five pairs of individually insulated conductors. Each pair has a different twist lay from all of the other pairs to minimize crosstalk. The lowest twist lay is 0.25 inches and the highest twist lay is 0.86 inches, the twist lays increasing incrementally between these two limits. As shown by Figure 3, certain pairs 26 of the conductors have twist lays within a range of lower limits i.e. between 0.25 and 0.35 inches. There are ten of these conductor pairs with twist lays varying from 0.25 to 0.35 inches. Each of the conductors 28 in these pairs is individually insulated with a layer 30 of a chosen insulating material, the layer 30 having a thickness of 0.0095 inches to result in a total outside insulated diameter of 0.0391 inches. The other

fifteen pairs 32 of conductors 34 having different twist lay lengths within a higher limiting range, each have an insulation layer 36 also of chosen insulating material, the insulation layer being 0.0085 inches in thickness to  
 5 provide a total overall insulated thickness of 0.0361 inches.

With this construction as shown by the accompanying Table, the nominal characteristic impedance of each conductor pair lies within the limits which are  
 10 acceptable in the telecommunications cable industry, i.e. 100 ohms  $\pm$  15 ohms. In addition, the attenuation as shown by the Table lies within acceptable limits. As may be seen from the accompanying Table, the ten twisted pairs within the lower range of twist lays, i.e. within 0.25 and 0.35 inches have a nominal characteristic ~~impedance measured over 1 meter~~ <sup>impedance at 1 MHz</sup> between 102.5 and 109.5 ohms and ~~over 100 meters~~ <sup>at 100 MHz</sup> between 99.6 and 106.1 ohms. The fifteen twisted pairs lying within the upper twist lay range have nominal characteristic impedances which are comparable to those at  
 20 the lower end of the range as may be seen from the Table.

As may be seen from the embodiment and from the accompanying Table, the nominal characteristic impedance may be controlled for ~~low~~ twist lay lengths below 2 inches (and in this case below 0.86 inches) to enable a relatively  
 25 large pair content cable to be made for use at high ~~frequency ranges~~ <sup>frequencies</sup> while producing acceptable nominal characteristic impedance and attenuation values. The embodiment as described uses twenty-five pairs all of which have acceptable values between the defined limits. As may  
 30 be realized, the inventive concept may easily be used upon cables having more or lesser pairs than those described in the embodiment, any increase in limit differential between the lay lengths of extra pairs being easily accommodated by thicknesses of insulation which are different from those  
 35 described in the embodiment and which are calculated to produce the desired nominal characteristic impedance and accompanying attenuation values.



In effect therefore, as may be seen from the above description and from the Table and also with particular reference to Figure 1, for shorter twist lays, in which it is normally expected that the helical length of the

5 conductor increases more rapidly than the ~~loop surface~~ *physical spacing* area, the thicker insulation provided upon the conductors of these pairs results in further spacing apart of the

~~conductors and therefore an increase in the loop surface~~

*also* ~~area which bolsters the inductance value in comparison to~~  
10 ~~the increase in capacitance~~ thereby controlling the nominal characteristic impedance values within the desirable

limits. The change in insulation thickness therefore

results in control of spacing between the conductors of the pairs dependent upon the twist lay lengths of the pairs so

15 as to provide a balancing effect between capacitance and inductance to produce the desirable nominal characteristic impedance.

TABLE

LAY	NOMINAL CHARACTERISTIC IMPEDANCE				O.D. DIAMETER INCHES
	ATTENUATION				
	<del>1M-1MHz</del>	<del>100M-10MHz</del>	<del>1M-1MHz</del>	<del>100M-10MHz</del>	
	(dB per 100m)		(ohm)		
0.25	2.2	21.5	102.5	99.6	0.0391
0.26	2.1	20.7	105.7	101.8	0.0391
0.27	2.1	20.0	106.1	102.7	0.0391
0.28	2.1	20.0	106.3	103.4	0.0391
0.29	2.0	19.8	106.4	103.9	0.0391
0.3	2.0	19.7	107.7	104.5	0.0391
0.31	2.0	19.2	108.2	105.0	0.0391
0.33	2.0	19.3	107.9	105.9	0.0391
0.34	2.0	19.2	108.6	106.6	0.0391
0.35	2.0	19.1	109.5	106.1	0.0391
0.36	2.1	20.8	103.2	98.4	0.0361
0.38	2.1	20.4	103.0	98.8	0.0361
0.41	2.0	20.0	104.8	101.1	0.0361
0.44	2.0	20.0	105.0	100.0	0.0361
0.46	2.0	20.0	104.6	102.5	0.0361
0.48	2.0	19.5	105.0	101.4	0.0361
0.52	1.8	18.8	107.9	103.6	0.0361
0.53	2.0	19.9	106.1	100.7	0.0361
0.58	2.0	19.5	107.1	102.1	0.0361
0.64	2.0	19.7	106.4	102.5	0.0361
0.66	1.8	18.8	108.2	99.1	0.0361
0.71	1.8	19.5	106.3	100.9	0.0361
0.75	1.8	19.5	107.1	102.9	0.0361
0.8	1.8	19.2	108.4	101.6	0.0361
0.86	1.8	18.7	108.2	100.7	0.0361